



**CITY OF YORK COUNCIL
SUMMONS**

All Councillors, relevant Council Officers and other interested parties and residents are formally invited to attend a Remote Budget Council meeting of the **City of York Council** to consider the business contained in this agenda on the following date and time

Thursday, 25 February 2021 at 6.00 pm

Note: the meeting will be webcast live for viewing on the Council's Youtube channel.

1. **Declarations of Interest**

At this point, Members are asked to declare:

- any personal interests not included on the Register of Interests
- any prejudicial interests or
- any disclosable pecuniary interests

which they may have in respect of business on this agenda.

2. **Minutes** (Pages 1 - 30)

To approve and sign the minutes of the Special and Ordinary meetings of Council held on 17 December 2020.

3. **Civic Announcements**

To consider any announcements made by the Lord Mayor in respect of Civic business.

4. **Public Participation**

At this point in the meeting, any member of the public who has registered to address the Council, or to ask a Member of the Council a question, on a matter directly relevant to the setting of the Council's budget for the coming financial year, may do so.

Please note that the deadlines for registering to speak at our meetings have changed to two working days before the meeting, in order to facilitate the management of public participation at remote meetings. The deadline for registering is **5:00pm on Tuesday, 23 February 2021**.

To register to speak please visit www.york.gov.uk/AttendCouncilMeetings to fill in an online registration form. If you have any questions about the registration form or the meeting please contact Democratic Services. Contact details can be found at the foot of this agenda.

Webcasting of Remote Public Meetings

Please note that, subject to available resources, this remote public meeting will be webcast including any registered public speakers who have given their permission. The remote public meeting can be viewed live and on demand at www.york.gov.uk/webcasts.

During coronavirus, we've made some changes to how we're running council meetings. See our coronavirus updates at www.york.gov.uk/COVIDDemocracy for more information on meetings and decisions.

5. Updating the Delegation for Responding to Local Government Reorganisation in York and North Yorkshire
(Pages 31 - 38)

To consider a report of the Chief Operating Officer and the Director of Governance recommending additional delegations, in line with the previous Council decision, to enable the submission of a response on behalf of City of York Council to the statutory consultation on Local Government Reorganisation.

6. Recommendations of the Executive in respect of the Capital Programme Monitor 3 2020/21 (Pages 39 - 40)

To consider the recommendations made by Executive, at their meeting on 11 February 2021, regarding the third monitor report on the 2020/1 Capital Programme. These are set out in the attached Part B minutes and will be moved by the Executive Leader.

7. Recommendations of Executive on the Council's Financial Strategy 2021/22 to 2025/26, Capital Budget 2021/22 to 2025/26, Capital Financing and Investment Strategy and the Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Prudential Indicators for 2021/22 to 2025/26 (Pages 41 - 54)

To consider the recommendations made by the Executive, at their meeting on 11 February 2021, in relation to:

- i) The Financial Strategy 2021/22 to 2025/26
- ii) The Capital Budget 2021/22 to 2025/26
- iii) The Capital Financing and Investment Strategy
- iv) The Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Prudential Indicators 2021/22 to 2025/26.

These recommendations are set out in the attached report and will be moved by the Executive Leader.

Note:

The original budget reports to Executive, on which their recommendations are based, will be circulated to all Members with this agenda and can be found on the council's website, here: <https://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&MId=12508&Ver=4>

- 8. List of Budget Amendments, for consideration at the meeting (to follow)**
- 9. Council Tax Resolution 2021/22 (Pages 55 - 66)**
To consider the Council Tax Resolution for 2021/22, as set out in the attached report.
- 10. Urgent Business**
Any other business which the Chair considers urgent under the Local Government Act 1972.

Democracy Officer

Fiona Young

Contact details:

- Telephone – (01904) 552030
- Email fiona.young@york.gov.uk

For more information about any of the following please contact the Democracy Officer responsible for servicing this meeting:

- Registering to speak
- Business of the meeting
- Any special arrangements
- Copies of reports and
- For receiving reports in other formats

Contact details are set out above.

This information can be provided in your own language.

我們也用您們的語言提供這個信息 (Cantonese)

এই তথ্য আপনার নিজের ভাষায় দেয়া যেতে পারে। (Bengali)

Ta informacja może być dostarczona w twoim własnym języku. (Polish)

Bu bilgiyi kendi dilinizde almanız mümkündür. (Turkish)

یہ معلومات آپ کی اپنی زبان (بولی) میں بھی مہیا کی جاسکتی ہیں۔ (Urdu)

 (01904) 551550

City of York Council

Resolutions and proceedings of the Meeting of the City of York Council held in The Citidal, Gillygate, York on Thursday, 17 December 2020, starting at 6.00 pm

Present: The Lord Mayor (Cllr Barbara Boyce) in the Chair, and the following Councillors:

Acomb Ward	Bishopthorpe Ward
Lomas S Barnes	Galvin
Clifton Ward	Copmanthorpe Ward
D Myers	Carr
Dringhouses & Woodthorpe Ward	Fishergate Ward
Widdowson Fenton Mason	D Taylor D'Agorne
Fulford and Heslington Ward	Guildhall Ward
Aspden	Fitzpatrick Craghill Looker
Haxby & Wigginton Ward	Heworth Ward
Cuthbertson Hollyer Pearson	Douglas Perrett Webb
Heworth Without Ward	Holgate Ward
Ayre	Heaton Melly K Taylor

Hull Road Ward

Musson
Norman
Pavlovic

Huntington and New Earswick
Ward

Cullwick
Orrell
Runciman

Micklegate Ward

Baker
Crawshaw
Kilbane

Osbaldwick and Derwent Ward

Rowley
Warters

Rawcliffe and Clifton Without Ward

Smalley
Wann
Waudby

Rural West York Ward

Barker
Hook

Strensall Ward

Doughty
Fisher

Westfield Ward

Daubeney
Hunter
Waller

Wheldrake Ward

Vassie

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Wells.

76. Honorary Freeman

The Lord Mayor declared the object of the meeting, under Section 249(1) of the Local Government Act 1972, which was to confer the title of Honorary Freeman of the City of York upon Alison Sinclair and Delma Tomlin.

It was then moved by Councillor Aspden, and seconded by Cllr Myers:

“That, pursuant to its powers under Section 249(1) of the Local Government Act 1972, the Council do confer the title of Honorary Freeman of the City of York upon:

Alison Sinclair, in formal recognition of her services to the city’s heritage through many years of voluntary work

and

Delma Tomlin MBE, in recognition of her contribution to York’s world profile, cultural scene and heritage through many years of working in the city.

The motion was put to the vote and declared CARRIED and it was

RESOLVED: That the above motion be approved.¹

Action Required

1. Arrange a Civic Ceremony for the new Honorary MD
Freemen at an appropriate time.

Cllr Janet Looker
LORD MAYOR OF YORK

[The meeting started at 6.03 pm and concluded at 6.16 pm]

This page is intentionally left blank

City of York Council

Resolutions and proceedings of the Meeting of the City of York Council held in The Citidal, Gillygate, York on Thursday, 17 December 2020, starting at 6.10 pm

Present: The Lord Mayor (Cllr Janet Looker) in the Chair, and the following Councillors:

Acomb Ward	Bishopthorpe Ward
Lomas S Barnes	Galvin
Clifton Ward	Copmanthorpe Ward
Myers	Carr
Dringhouses & Woodthorpe Ward	Fishergate Ward
Widdowson Fenton Mason	D Taylor D'Agorne
Fulford and Heslington Ward	Guildhall Ward
Aspden	Fitzpatrick Craghill Looker
Haxby & Wigginton Ward	Heworth Ward
Cuthbertson Hollyer Pearson	Douglas Perrett Webb
Heworth Without Ward	Holgate Ward
Ayre	Heaton Melly K Taylor

Hull Road Ward

Musson
Norman
Pavlovic

Huntington and New Earswick
Ward

Cullwick
Orrell
Runciman

Micklegate Ward

Baker
Crawshaw
Kilbane

Osbalwick and Derwent Ward

Rowley
Warters

Rawcliffe and Clifton Without Ward

Smalley
Wann
Waudby

Rural West York Ward

Barker
Hook

Strensall Ward

Doughty
Fisher

Westfield Ward

Daubeney
Hunter
Waller

Wheldrake Ward

Vassie

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Wells.

77. Declarations of Interest

Members were invited to declare at this point in the meeting any personal interests not included on the Register of Interests, any prejudicial interests or any disclosable pecuniary interests they might have in the business on the agenda.

Cllr Kilbane declared a personal interest in Agenda Item 8 (iii) (Motion on Stand Up for Carers – Increasing Carer’s Allowance), as a member of his family was in receipt of Carer’s Allowance. He left the meeting during consideration of that item and took no part in the discussion or decision thereon.

78. Minutes

Resolved: That the minutes of the Council meeting held on 29 October 2020 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair at a later date, subject to the following amendments:

- Minute 73(i) – amend to include reference to the motion moved by Cllr Warters and seconded by Cllr Rowley to suspend Standing Orders to allow an amendment to be moved;
- Minute 73(ii) – amend to include Cllr Doughty’s name in the list of those voting against the amendment to Motion (ii).

79. Civic Announcements

The Lord Mayor expressed her thanks to Council staff and Members, and the public in general, for their hard work and commitment in supporting the York community through the pandemic.

The Lord Mayor went on to announce her recent attendance at the following events:

- The online launch of the Culture Strategy for York, which had originated from a Scrutiny committee that she had chaired;
- The Forum on the Annual Human Rights report;
- The formal opening of a new residential care home on the former site of Burnholme School.

80. Public Participation

It was reported that three members of the public had registered to speak at the meeting under the Public Participation item. All three wished to speak on the motion at Agenda Item 8(i) – “Call for ‘Free after three’ Parking Initiative.”

Phil Pinder, Director of York BID, spoke on behalf of York Retail Forum. He urged Members to support the motion in order to stem further losses and closures in the retail and hospitality sector.

Andrew McGuinness, Vice Chair of York Quality Bus Partnership (YQBP) spoke against the motion on behalf of the YQBP. He asked Members to consider instead the role that buses could play in the economy, which would reduce congestion and environmental impact.

Maeve Pearson spoke against the motion on behalf of York Cycle Campaign. She expressed concern about the increased risk to pedestrians and cyclists and suggested that enhanced cycle access be provided to the city centre to help boost trade.

81. Petitions

It was reported that notice had not been received of any petitions to be presented at the meeting.

82. Report of Executive Leader and Executive Recommendations

A – Executive Leader’s Report

A written report was received from the Executive Leader, Cllr Aspden, on the work of the Executive.

B – Executive Recommendations

Cllr Aspden moved, and Cllr D’Agorne seconded, the following recommendations contained in Minutes 67 and 68 of the Executive meeting held on 26 November 2020 and Minutes 69 and 70 of the Executive meeting held on 15 December 2020:

Minute 67 - Update on the Asset Management Strategy 2017-2022

Recommended: That Council approve: ¹

- a) the establishment of a capital budget of £3.9m, to fund the acquisition of the Head

leasehold interest of the Eco Centre, Amy Johnson Way;

- b) the investment of a further £100k to fund green infrastructure improvements to the building, providing an increase in revenue funded from the One Planet York budget; and
- c) the delegation of authority to the Corporate Director of Economy & Place, in consultation with the Director of Governance, to complete the purchase once all due diligence has been satisfactorily conducted on the property.

- Reasons:
- a) To establish clear principles to guide and shape future use of the council's assets and to continue to carry out a programme of proactive work to shape the commercial and operational property portfolio further.
 - b) To support the ongoing economic vibrancy of the city while increasing the income from the council's commercial and operational property portfolio.

Minute 68 - Capital Programme : Monitor 2, 2020/21

Recommended: That Council approve the adjustments resulting in a decrease of £36.538m, as detailed in the report and in Annex A.²

Reason: To enable the effective management and monitoring of the council's capital programme.

Minute 69 - New Stadium Leisure Complex Commercial Proposal on Restaurant Units

Recommended: That a further £1.375m prudential borrowing be applied to the Community Stadium project to offset the loss of commercial investment in the project.³

Reason: To ensure the stadium project is fully funded at the lowest risk to council finances going forward.

Minute 70 - Amendment to Council Tax Support Scheme

Recommended: That Council approve an amendment to the CTS scheme to implement a De Minimis limit of £2.50

before a council tax support customer is required to receive a revised council tax bill. ⁴

Reason: To support financial inclusion and protect financially vulnerable customers from confusing and unnecessary multiple bills.

A named vote was then taken on each of the above sets of recommendations, with the following results:

Minute 67

For	Against	Abstained
Cllr Aspden		Cllr Doughty
Cllr Ayre		Cllr Rowley
Cllr Baker		Cllr Warters
Cllr Barker		
Cllr Barnes		
Cllr Carr		
Cllr Craghill		
Cllr Crawshaw		
Cllr Cullwick		
Cllr Cuthbertson		
Cllr D'Agorne		
Cllr Daubeney		
Cllr Douglas		
Cllr Fenton		
Cllr Fisher		
Cllr Fitzpatrick		
Cllr Galvin		
Cllr Heaton		
Cllr Hollyer		
Cllr Hook		
Cllr Hunter		
Cllr Kilbane		
Cllr Lomas		
Cllr Mason		
Cllr Melly		
Cllr Musson		
Cllr Myers		
Cllr Norman		
Cllr Orrell		
Cllr Pavlovic		

Cllr Pearson		
Cllr Perrett		
Cllr Runciman		
Cllr Smalley		
Cllr D Taylor		
Cllr K Taylor		
Cllr Vassie		
Cllr Waller		
Cllr Wann		
Cllr Waudby		
Cllr Webb		
Cllr Widdowson		
Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)		
	43	0
		3

Minute 68

For	Against	Abstained
Cllr Aspden		Cllr Doughty
Cllr Ayre		Cllr Rowley
Cllr Baker		Cllr Warters
Cllr Barker		
Cllr Barnes		
Cllr Carr		
Cllr Craghill		
Cllr Crawshaw		
Cllr Cullwick		
Cllr Cuthbertson		
Cllr D'Agorne		
Cllr Daubeney		
Cllr Douglas		
Cllr Fenton		
Cllr Fisher		
Cllr Fitzpatrick		
Cllr Galvin		
Cllr Heaton		
Cllr Hollyer		
Cllr Hook		
Cllr Hunter		
Cllr Kilbane		
Cllr Lomas		
Cllr Mason		

Cllr Melly		
Cllr Musson		
Cllr Myers		
Cllr Norman		
Cllr Orrell		
Cllr Pavlovic		
Cllr Pearson		
Cllr Perrett		
Cllr Runciman		
Cllr Smalley		
Cllr D Taylor		
Cllr K Taylor		
Cllr Vassie		
Cllr Waller		
Cllr Wann		
Cllr Waudby		
Cllr Webb		
Cllr Widdowson		
Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)		
43	0	3

Minute 79

For	Against	Abstained
Cllr Aspden	Cllr Warters	Cllr Doughty
Cllr Ayre		Cllr Rowley
Cllr Baker		Cllr D Taylor
Cllr Barker		
Cllr Barnes		
Cllr Carr		
Cllr Craghill		
Cllr Crawshaw		
Cllr Cullwick		
Cllr Cuthbertson		
Cllr D'Agorne		
Cllr Daubeney		
Cllr Douglas		
Cllr Fenton		
Cllr Fisher		
Cllr Fitzpatrick		
Cllr Galvin		
Cllr Heaton		

Cllr Hollyer		
Cllr Hook		
Cllr Hunter		
Cllr Kilbane		
Cllr Lomas		
Cllr Mason		
Cllr Melly		
Cllr Musson		
Cllr Myers		
Cllr Norman		
Cllr Orrell		
Cllr Pavlovic		
Cllr Pearson		
Cllr Perrett		
Cllr Runciman		
Cllr Smalley		
Cllr K Taylor		
Cllr Vassie		
Cllr Waller		
Cllr Wann		
Cllr Waudby		
Cllr Webb		
Cllr Widdowson		
Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)		
42	1	3

Minute 80

For	Against	Abstained
Cllr Aspden		
Cllr Ayre		
Cllr Baker		
Cllr Barker		
Cllr Barnes		
Cllr Carr		
Cllr Craghill		
Cllr Crawshaw		
Cllr Cullwick		
Cllr Cuthbertson		
Cllr D'Agorne		
Cllr Daubeney		
Cllr Doughty		

Cllr Douglas		
Cllr Fenton		
Cllr Fisher		
Cllr Fitzpatrick		
Cllr Galvin		
Cllr Heaton		
Cllr Hollyer		
Cllr Hook		
Cllr Hunter		
Cllr Kilbane		
Cllr Lomas		
Cllr Mason		
Cllr Melly		
Cllr Musson		
Cllr Myers		
Cllr Norman		
Cllr Orrell		
Cllr Pavlovic		
Cllr Pearson		
Cllr Perrett		
Cllr Rowley		
Cllr Runciman		
Cllr Smalley		
Cllr D Taylor		
Cllr K Taylor		
Cllr Vassie		
Cllr Waller		
Cllr Wann		
Cllr Warters		
Cllr Waudby		
Cllr Webb		
Cllr Widdowson		
Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)		
46	0	0

All of the Executive recommendations were therefore declared CARRIED and it was

Resolved: That the above recommendations be approved. ^{1,2,3 & 4}

Action Required

1. Note the approval of the Executive

NF

recommendations on the Asset Management Strategy and take the appropriate actions.

2. Note the approval of the Executive recommendation on the Capital Programme Monitor and take the appropriate action. DM

3. Note the approval of the Executive recommendations on the New Stadium Leisure Complex Commercial Proposal on Restaurant Units and take the appropriate actions. DM

4. Note the approval of the Executive recommendation on the Amendment to the Council Tax Support Scheme and take the appropriate action. PS

83. Report of Deputy Leader

A written report was received from the Deputy Leader, Cllr D'Agorne.

84. Motions on Notice

(i) Call for 'Free After Three' Parking Initiative

Moved by Cllr Rowley and seconded by Cllr Doughty.

"Now that we have come out of the second national lockdown and in recognition of a continuing significant improvement in Covid case numbers, Council believes this is the time to take all practical steps to support residents and city businesses in recovering our local economy and helping to protect jobs.

As part of this recovery, Council calls for a **'Free after three' car parking scheme**. After a hopefully strong run up to Christmas Council is mindful that January sees a lull but businesses cannot afford this after the last nine months. The scheme would enable **free car parking at any City of York Council car park** after 3pm each day, seven days a week in January and February 2021.

The scheme would be likely to see a fall in overall parking revenue receipts but this will actually be modest against falls suffered in lockdowns already this year. However it is not a zero sum game as the drop in revenue we now proactively enable will help save jobs, businesses and receipts like business rates. Helping subsidise this scheme through the release of £250k from the general reserves is therefore a true case of spending now to avert a worse financial loss in the future.

It is proposed that Council delegate implementation of the scheme to the Chief Operating Officer, in consultation with the Leader.”

Cllr Ayre then moved, and Cllr D’Agorne seconded, an amendment to the motion, as follows:

“After the first paragraph, **insert** a new second paragraph, as follows:

‘Council notes the hard work carried out by Council staff, under difficult circumstances, to accelerate the city’s economic recovery from the pandemic, including the processing of over £110 million in grant payments for local business, and the delivery of parking incentives for Council owned car parks, including via the RingGo app last summer. In addition, residents within City of York can continue to access the discounted £2 Minster Badge offer, which secures parking discounts and free parking after 6pm.’

In the second (now the third) paragraph, **delete** all after ‘Council calls for’ and **insert**:

‘further parking and sustainable travel incentive initiatives to be brought forward including specific time limited initiatives as part of the economic recovery plan. After a hopefully strong run up to Christmas, Council is mindful that January sees a lull but businesses cannot afford this after the last nine months.’

Delete the third (now the fourth) paragraph and **substitute**:

‘By identifying further incentive schemes as a part of our ongoing response to the pandemic and within the budget process, Council can continue to support the local economy through such schemes, whilst ensuring a balanced and sustainable budget is set for all Council services.’

To the end of the final paragraph, **add**:

‘the Executive Member for Finance and Performance, and the Executive Member for Transport.’”

A named vote was taken on the amendment, with the following result:

For	Against	Abstained
Cllr Aspden	Cllr Doughty	
Cllr Ayre	Cllr Rowley	
Cllr Baker	Cllr Warters	
Cllr Barker		

Cllr Barnes		
Cllr Carr		
Cllr Craghill		
Cllr Crawshaw		
Cllr Cullwick		
Cllr Cuthbertson		
Cllr D'Agorne		
Cllr Daubeney		
Cllr Douglas		
Cllr Fenton		
Cllr Fisher		
Cllr Fitzpatrick		
Cllr Galvin		
Cllr Heaton		
Cllr Hollyer		
Cllr Hook		
Cllr Hunter		
Cllr Kilbane		
Cllr Lomas		
Cllr Mason		
Cllr Melly		
Cllr Musson		
Cllr Myers		
Cllr Norman		
Cllr Orrell		
Cllr Pavlovic		
Cllr Pearson		
Cllr Perrett		
Cllr Runciman		
Cllr Smalley		
Cllr D Taylor		
Cllr K Taylor		
Cllr Vassie		
Cllr Waller		
Cllr Wann		
Cllr Waudby		
Cllr Webb		
Cllr Widdowson		
Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)		
43	3	0

The amendment was therefore declared CARRIED and the motion, as amended, now read as follows:

“Now that we have come out of the second national lockdown and in recognition of a continuing significant improvement in Covid case numbers, Council believes this is the time to take all practical steps to support residents and city businesses in recovering our local economy and helping to protect jobs.

Council notes the hard work carried out by Council staff, under difficult circumstances, to accelerate the city’s economic recovery from the pandemic, including the processing of over £110 million in grant payments for local business, and the delivery of parking incentives for Council owned car parks, including via the RingGo app last summer. In addition, residents within City of York can continue to access the discounted £2 Minster Badge offer, which secures parking discounts and free parking after 6pm.

As part of this recovery, Council calls for further parking and sustainable travel incentive initiatives to be brought forward including specific time limited initiatives as part of the economic recovery plan. After a hopefully strong run up to Christmas, Council is mindful that January sees a lull but businesses cannot afford this after the last nine months.

By identifying further incentive schemes as a part of our ongoing response to the pandemic and within the budget process, Council can continue to support the local economy through such schemes, whilst ensuring a balanced and sustainable budget is set for all Council services.

It is proposed that Council delegate implementation of this work to the Chief Operating Officer, in consultation with the Leader, the Executive Member for Finance and Performance, and the Executive Member for Transport.”

A named vote was then taken on the amended motion, with the following result:

For	Against	Abstained
Cllr Aspden	Cllr Wartens	Cllr Doughty
Cllr Ayre		Cllr Rowley
Cllr Baker		
Cllr Barker		

Cllr Barnes		
Cllr Carr		
Cllr Craghill		
Cllr Crawshaw		
Cllr Cullwick		
Cllr Cuthbertson		
Cllr D'Agorne		
Cllr Daubeney		
Cllr Douglas		
Cllr Fenton		
Cllr Fisher		
Cllr Fitzpatrick		
Cllr Galvin		
Cllr Heaton		
Cllr Hollyer		
Cllr Hook		
Cllr Hunter		
Cllr Kilbane		
Cllr Lomas		
Cllr Mason		
Cllr Melly		
Cllr Musson		
Cllr Myers		
Cllr Norman		
Cllr Orrell		
Cllr Pavlovic		
Cllr Pearson		
Cllr Perrett		
Cllr Runciman		
Cllr Smalley		
Cllr D Taylor		
Cllr K Taylor		
Cllr Vassie		
Cllr Waller		
Cllr Wann		
Cllr Waudby		
Cllr Webb		
Cllr Widdowson		
Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)		
43	1	2

The **amended motion** was therefore declared CARRIED, and it was

Resolved: That the above motion, as amended, be approved. ¹

(ii) Finding the Sweet Spot – Carbon Reduction and Economic Prosperity

Moved by Cllr Craghill and seconded by Cllr Baker.

“Council notes:

- The commitment made by this Council in March 2019 to achieving zero carbon by 2030 and ongoing work on a 2030 strategy and action plan.
- The pressing need to tackle poverty and inequality in York, exacerbated by the Covid crisis and the need to create new jobs and support our local economy as part of the Covid recovery.
- The innovative work being done by local councils as diverse as Amsterdam and Cornwall to use the globally acclaimed economic model developed by Oxford academic, Kate Raworth – now widely known as ‘Doughnut Economics’ – to make sure that all their policy decisions achieve both environmental sustainability and economic/social equity.

www.doughnuteconomics.org and
<https://www.cornwall.gov.uk/environment-and-planning/climate-emergency/our-action-plan/what-is-cornwall-council-doing/decision-making-wheel/>

Council resolves

- To request officers to facilitate a wider understanding of the approach and how it can be used by arranging information sessions for elected members, relevant council officers and interested partner organisations.
- To request Executive to consider the use of the doughnut economics approach to inform the current review of the council's ‘Better Decision Making Tool’, and also in due course the potential to inform future strategy development (for example on carbon reduction, anti-poverty work and the city's Economic Strategy).”

A named vote was taken on the motion, with the following result:

For	Against	Abstained
Cllr Aspden		Cllr Warters
Cllr Ayre		
Cllr Baker		
Cllr Barker		
Cllr Barnes		
Cllr Carr		
Cllr Craghill		
Cllr Crawshaw		
Cllr Cullwick		
Cllr Cuthbertson		
Cllr D'Agorne		
Cllr Daubeney		
Cllr Doughty		
Cllr Douglas		
Cllr Fenton		
Cllr Fisher		
Cllr Fitzpatrick		
Cllr Galvin		
Cllr Heaton		
Cllr Hollyer		
Cllr Hook		
Cllr Hunter		
Cllr Kilbane		
Cllr Lomas		
Cllr Mason		
Cllr Melly		
Cllr Musson		
Cllr Myers		
Cllr Norman		
Cllr Orrell		
Cllr Pavlovic		
Cllr Pearson		
Cllr Perrett		
Cllr Rowley		
Cllr Runciman		
Cllr Smalley		
Cllr D Taylor		
Cllr K Taylor		
Cllr Vassie		
Cllr Waller		
Cllr Wann		

Cllr Waudby		
Cllr Webb		
Cllr Widdowson		
Cllr Looker (Lord Mayor)		
45	0	1

The motion was therefore declared CARRIED and it was

Resolved: That the above motion be approved. ²

(iii) Stand Up For Carers – Increasing Carer’s Allowance

Moved by Cllr Hook and seconded by Cllr Daubeney.

“The pandemic has opened all our eyes to the importance of carers, whether employed in the care sector or unpaid people who care for family members.

Carers have been at the forefront of the Coronavirus pandemic response and continue to do a selfless and remarkable job in very difficult circumstances.

Despite this, the Government has overlooked these key workers and have failed to offer adequate support, and unpaid carers in particular have been left to face mounting financial and emotional pressures.

Carers UK research shows that unpaid carers in the UK have saved the state £530 million every day of the pandemic. It is time to give them the support they deserve.

Council notes:

- Caring for people’s health doesn’t stop at the hospital exit, or the GP’s surgery door, there are approximately 6.5million volunteers in the UK who look after a family member or friend who is elderly or has a disability, or a mental or physical illness.
- The financial and emotional challenges faced by unpaid carers have been made far worse by the Coronavirus pandemic.
- There are around 19,000 carers in York, but many people who provide care do not recognise themselves as a ‘carer’.
- The latest government figures show that 2,351 unpaid carers in York are entitled to receive Carer’s Allowance, although only 1472 currently do.

- 879 people who are entitled to Carer's Allowance do not receive it due to overlapping benefits, these are mostly older carers on low incomes.
- 900,000 full-time unpaid carers rely on Carer's Allowance at just £67.25 a week; it is the lowest benefit of its kind.
- Carer's Allowance has not been increased during the pandemic despite the pressure on carers and economic impact of Covid-19.

Council believes that more must be done to support unpaid carers, particularly as they continue to face major financial and emotional challenges working on the frontline of the response to the pandemic.

Consequently, Council resolves:

- That the Council lobby national government to increase Carer's Allowance by £1,000 a year, from its current rate of £67.25 a week to £87.25, in line with the £20 a week uplift in Universal Credit, including those who do not receive the Allowance due to overlapping benefits and with equivalent increase in Carer Premium and Carer Addition.
- To call on the Government to expand the eligibility for Carer's Allowance, by raising the earning limit from £128 a week to at least £139.52.
- To request that the Executive Members for Communities and Adult Social Care & Health bring forward a report to a decision session, to highlight the work and voluntary efforts of carers in the city, including highlighting the work of the York Carers Centre. This report should further identify what is and can be done to support carers in York.

On being put to a named vote, the motion was declared CARRIED unanimously, and it was

Resolved: That the above motion be approved. ³

**Note: Cllr Kilbane did not take part in the vote, having declared an interest in this item- see Minute 77.*

(iv) Developing the Next Generation of Workers

Moved by Cllr Webb and seconded by Cllr Douglas.

“Council believes that the Covid recession is the worst time for young people to be leaving education or training and seeking to enter the jobs market in almost 30 years.

Council notes:

- that from July to September, 16-24 year olds experienced the biggest percentage increase in rates of unemployment since the Labour Force Survey (LFS) started collecting quarterly data in 1992 – even before the initial furlough scheme ended;
- that according to Job Centre Plus, York currently has well over 1000 16-24 year olds unemployed;
- the continued importance of food services and accommodation to a visitor economy such as York’s and to the employment opportunities of young people more generally; this sector lost the highest percentage of jobs over the same quarter, with young workers worst affected;
- that since August 2019, £0.5m of unallocated council apprenticeship levy has been returned to the Treasury due to it not being spent within an imposed two year deadline.

Council believes proper plans must be developed at both the national and local levels to ensure young people in York are not consigned to years of unemployment or low paid, unstable work.

Council resolves:

- To request that the Chief Operating Officer, on behalf of the council, writes to the Chancellor and Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, seeking a broadening of the Government’s ‘Kickstart’ Scheme beyond just those young people on Universal Credit and ‘at risk of long term unemployment’, and further, to push for a fully funded youth guarantee of jobs, training or employment;
- To request that Executive steps up its commitment to apprenticeship training in the council to train and develop more young people, helping to avoid the requirement to send more York apprenticeship funding back to Government, and to report on its progress through bi-annual updates to either Executive or the relevant Executive Member Decision Session;
- To support establishing a joint review, convened between Economy & Place and Children, Education & Communities scrutiny committees, to develop a robust strategy for ensuring that best use is made of all available support and

funding for apprenticeships, both within the council and with partners and businesses throughout the city.”

On being put to a named vote, the motion was declared CARRIED unanimously*, and it was

Resolved: That the above motion be approved. ⁴

Action Required

- | | |
|---|----|
| 1. Note approval of the amended 'Free after three' Parking Initiative motion and take the appropriate action. | IF |
| 2. Note approval of the motion on Carbon Reduction and Economic Prosperity and take the appropriate action. | NF |
| 3. Note approval of the motion on Increasing Carer's Allowance and take the appropriate action. | AH |
| 4. Note approval of the motion on Developing the Next Generation of Workers and take the appropriate action. | IF |

85. Questions to the Leader or Executive Members

[Note: for ease of reference, questions are grouped by Executive Member rather than listed in the order in which they were asked.]

Questions to Cllr Aspden, Executive Leader

From Cllr Kilbane: Your report refers to the York Central development including 2,500 new homes instead of 'up to 2,500' - has there been a shift in position?

*(**Supplementary from Cllr Webb:** You are advocating eating into commercial land to provide non-affordable apartments – how can you justify increasing the financial risk to the council?).*

Response: The plan is to endeavour to include 2,500 homes on the site, and now that planning approval has been granted I look forward to working with the Labour group to meet our aspirations in respect of housing. I don't agree with Cllr Webb's assertions; the council has made a decision to borrow, set against the achievement of future income from the Enterprise Zone. I hope Labour will welcome the fact that government has contributed £77m to the site and am happy to work through the details with their group as the project progresses.

From Cllr Warters: Will you be lobbying government and Higher Education organisations for students to remain at home after Christmas and continue their courses remotely, rather than repeating the mistake of welcoming 40,000 students to York?

(Supplementary from Cllr Warters: If it's considered safe for students to return in January, when will the council ask its staff to come back?)

Response: I am not going to demonise or segregate groups. I praise the work carried out by public health, the NHS, universities and schools in difficult circumstances. My commitment is to ensure we are communicating health guidance as quickly as possible and taking a York approach, including test and trace work with universities. We continue to follow national guidance on working from home.

Questions to Cllr D'Agorne, Deputy Leader and Executive Member for Transport

From Cllr Hook: Why is it so crucial that we secure Devolution funding?

Response: We have put forward a bid with North Yorkshire for a joint Devolution deal, full details of which are on the council's website. The proposals include a £67m York Place fund to support the York Station Front, York Riverside Walkway, Castle Gateway and other projects in the coming year; £175m funding for Bio-Yorkshire; funding for housing, including affordable homes; and £10m for a low carbon skills programme.

(Supplementary from Cllr Rowley: The Railway Institute Band Room is to be demolished as part of the York Station Front scheme – will you work to provide a replacement?)

Response: I'm happy to support anyone affected and am aware that efforts are being made to find suitable replacement premises.

From Cllr Musson: Can you detail the steps taken to progress policy in respect of last year's Council motion on zero carbon?

(Supplementary from Cllr Crawshaw: Can you reassure us that a strategic approach is being taken, with everyone is signed up to a holistic plan that will be in place when we come to Devolution

Response: Despite officers having other priorities with Covid-19 and flooding, they have taken advantage of government funding to put in place policies for development through the Local Transport Plan refresh, which had been due to start in April but was delayed due to the pandemic. We are continuing the Clean Air Zones, which also makes public transport more effective and helps tackle pollution. Several programmes are under way and others are being developed. I would welcome cross-party support on this, and on the one-year recovery plan which forms part of our response to the pandemic.

Questions to Cllr Smalley, Executive Member for Culture, Leisure & Communities

From Cllr Cullwick: Can you provide an update on play areas?

Response: We need to stop the slide in the quality of play areas. I am glad to say that this administration has accepted £100k funding to work in partnership with community groups to make improvements. The fund is open from today for applications from groups and details can be found on the council's website at www.york.gov.uk/PlayAreaImprovements

From Cllr Doughty: As a member of the Make It York (MIY) Board, can you outline the specific actions you suggest MIY should take to address its increasing problems?

Response: I only joined the Board recently. The MIY Service Level Agreement has been discussed at Executive. I'd be happy to meet you to talk about this.

Questions to Cllr Waller, Executive Member for Economy & Strategic Planning

From Cllr Crawshaw: Inward investment in Make It York has attracted just 18 jobs – is it meeting the Council's expectations?

Response: There was a report on this to my most recent Decision Session and there will be a focus on inward investment in the uncertain run up to Brexit. I am happy to work with scrutiny on that. As discussed at Executive this week, a new contract with MIY will be in place by 31 March.

(Supplementary from Cllr Douglas: Do you believe that an improved commitment to inward investment requires bringing it back in-house?)

Response: I would refer you to my comments at the Scrutiny committee meeting on 27 March 2015, when I expressed concerns around the hurried set up of MIY.

Questions to Cllr Widdowson, Executive Member for Environment & Climate Change

From Cllr Lomas: Given the Lib Dems' abandonment of their pledge to make York carbon neutral by 2030, was the pledge a mistake or an intention to deceive?

(Supplementary from Cllr Kilbane: Can you explain how the multi-storey car park voted through Planning Committee recently fits with the zero carbon target?)

Response: We have not abandoned any targets; we have said that the council will hit the 2030 target and will work with partners on how best to get the whole city involved. The multi-storey car park has not yet gone through Planning; I will be able to comment when a decision has been made.

Questions to Cllr Ayre, Executive Member for Finance & Performance

From Cllr Barker: What can we expect this year in terms of an increase in government funding?

Response: There has been talk of a 4.5% increase in spending power, but the LGC predict that 85% of this will come from council tax increases. So in reality there will be no increase as the government is shifting the burden to local residents.

Questions to Cllr Orrell, Executive Member for Children, Young People & Education

From Cllr K Taylor: The Liberal Democrats have presided over failures in Children's Services for years – what steps have been taken to ensure the service does not receive a negative assessment from OFSTED?

Response: We were not in charge in 2016. Steps have been taken to improve services in several ways within the directorate structures.

(Supplement from Cllr Webb: Do you commit to ensuring that services are brought up to date in terms of dealing with children in need of protection?)

Response: Yes.

Cllr Janet Looker

LORD MAYOR OF YORK

[The meeting started at 6.16 pm and concluded at 9.57 pm]

This page is intentionally left blank



Council

25 February 2021

Report of the Chief Operating Officer and Director of Governance

Updating the delegation for responding to Local Government Reorganisation in York and North Yorkshire

Summary

1. On 29 October 2020, Council approved the submission to Government of a case for York to remain as a unitary authority, given the Local Government Reorganisation process which had been initiated in the York and North Yorkshire sub-region on 9 October.
2. Delegated authority was provided for the Interim Head of Paid Service (now Chief Operating Officer) to submit this case on behalf of City of York Council, as well as submitting a Strategic Partnership Agreement with North Yorkshire County Council and the submission of Devolution “Asks”.
3. All these actions were completed in November and December 2020. Customer and Corporate Services Scrutiny Management Committee reviewed, on 18 November 2020, the Strategic Partnership Agreement, agreeing to write in support of it to the Minister.
4. This report now recommends some additional delegations, in line with the previous Council decision, to allow the submission of a response on behalf of City of York Council to the statutory consultation which is expected to commence in the coming weeks, and to approve any associated activity to support and promote City of York Council’s position to remain a unitary authority through this process.

Recommendations

5. Council is asked to:
 - a. Agree to support the North Yorkshire unitary proposal, given that of the only two options to be considered by Government, it aligns with

City of York Council's case to remain as an existing unitary Council in its present form, and to make clear City of York Council's opposition to the East West proposal.

Reason: to allow officers to submit a clear response to the consultation.

- b. Agree to delegate authority to the Chief Operating Officer to submit a consultation response within the consultation process for City of York Council to remain as a unitary authority, as outlined in paragraph 13.

Reason: to ensure officers are able to support the policy position agreed at Full Council in October 2020

- c. Agree to delegate to the Chief Operating Officer authority to undertake any actions he considers appropriate to promote City of York Council's case to remain as a unitary authority, as outlined in paragraphs 14 and 15.

Reason: to ensure officers are able to use appropriate resources to maintain ongoing support for the policy position agreed at Full Council in October 2020.

Background

6. The background to the Local Government Reorganisation process in York and North Yorkshire is included in the Executive report which was referred to Full Council on 29 October 2020. This can be found at <https://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=733&MId=12298&Ver=4>, item 52.
7. Full Council approved the recommendations of this report as follows:
 - a. *To note the letter from the Secretary of State and the issues as set out in this report.*
 - b. *To agree the submission to Government of a case for City of York Council remaining a unitary on its existing footprint.*

- c. To agree to delegate authority to the Interim Head of Paid Service to make a submission, in line with the decision above, within the Government's timescales.*
 - d. To agree the submission of a Strategic Partnership Agreement with North Yorkshire, which proposes areas of potential joint working between City of York Council and a new North Yorkshire unitary council to support efficient local government in the region.*
 - e. To agree the submission of Devolution "Asks" (as approved at July's Executive) alongside the unitary submission, subject to the permissibility within this process, in order to progress devolution discussions with Government as quickly as possible.*
8. The associated actions were carried out between November and December. The key elements of this were:
 - a. The submission on 9 November of an initial representation to Government of City of York Council's case to continue as a unitary authority in its own right.

<https://www.york.gov.uk/downloads/file/6173/successful-leadership-from-a-global-city-on-a-compact-scale>
 - b. The submission on 9 December of supplementary evidence highlighting the broad support for City of York Council's position, an analysis of the potential impacts of the six Districts' proposal for East/West authorities, and a copy of the proposed York and North Yorkshire Strategic Partnership Agreement.

<https://www.york.gov.uk/downloads/file/6243/supplementary-evidence>
<https://www.york.gov.uk/downloads/file/6242/telephone-survey-report>
9. In parallel with York's submissions, 2 proposals were made to Government to remove 2-tier local government in North Yorkshire. The first was a proposal from North Yorkshire County Council to create a single North Yorkshire unitary authority on its existing boundaries, with York remaining unchanged alongside. The second was a proposal from six of the seven North Yorkshire district and borough councils to abolish all existing councils across York and North Yorkshire and create 2 new

“East” and “West” unitary authorities.

10. The requirement for official proposals in this process is that they must recommend options to remove two-tier local government in all or part of the sub-region. As a single tier area, it is impossible for York to propose removal of two-tier government on its own footprint. For this reason, City of York Council’s submission is not classed as an official proposal. The impact of this is that Council’s representation will not be consulted upon.
11. The process requires Government to consult with those authorities directly affected by proposals, as well as any stakeholders the Secretary of State wishes to include. City of York Council is, therefore, a statutory consultee. Any other organisation or individual is also able to respond to the consultation.
12. At the time of writing, it is the council’s understanding that this consultation will commence in the next week or so, and will run for 8 weeks. It will consult on either or both of the two proposal submitted (as in paragraph 8 above) if the Government believes these may meet the basic criteria as set out in the invitation letter sent to authorities in October.
13. Given the two proposals to be consulted upon, it is clear that only one, the North Yorkshire unitary proposal, is aligned with City of York Council’s agreed policy position. On the contrary, the East/West proposal is entirely in opposition to this.
14. Therefore, subject to Full Council’s approval of the recommendations within this report, a consultation response will be drafted and submitted by the Chief Operating Officer which describes:
 - a. That the benefits of City of York Council remaining as a unitary authority are best served, in the constraints of this process, by the North Yorkshire unitary proposal, which provides for the least disruption, the greatest efficiencies, the simplest route through to devolution and the opportunity for both York and North Yorkshire to work collaboratively to enhance service delivery across the sub-region.
 - b. The detrimental impact upon York of the East/West proposal.

15. The submission would, therefore, be in line with the previous decision at Full Council to submit a case for York to remain as a unitary authority in its own right.
16. There may also be a need for the Chief Operating Officer to follow up the consultation response with any actions such as formulating, submitting and responding to any further queries or issues which may directly occur as a result.
17. It is understood at this point that City of York Council's submissions will not be visible to consultees on the Government's consultation site. It is, therefore, essential that officers are able to widely communicate York's position and encourage representation from stakeholders to that effect. This will include, but is not limited to, providing briefings and information to stakeholders, producing communications encouraging submissions to the consultation and liaising with partner organisations in support of York's case. All this activity will comply with the requirements of The Code of Recommended Practice on Local Authority Publicity.

Consultation

18. City of York Council has undertaken widespread consultation with stakeholders over the past months. Broad support has been seen for City of York Council remaining as a unitary authority. This is outlined in the documents linked in paragraph 7b above.
19. Wider Government consultation is expected to commence shortly, although we do not yet have detailed information.

Options

20. Option 1 – approve the recommendations of this report.
21. Option 2 – do not approve the recommendation of this report.

Analysis

22. Option 1 – this would allow for officers to continue to promote the policy position previously agreed by Full Council.
23. Option 2 – this would make it difficult for officers to promote the policy position previously agreed by Full Council, with the risk that there is no effective representation made in the next phases of the process. The

likelihood of an adverse outcome (in this case, the abolition and merger of City of York Council) would be greater without officers ability to undertake necessary steps to make submissions, promote the agreed policy position and seek to engage support within the process.

Council Plan

24. The future status of local government in York is directly of relevance to all aspects of the council plan.

Implications

- **Financial** – there are no financial impacts related to the recommendations of this report. Any small amounts of spend related to these activities would be funded from existing budgets.
- **Human Resources (HR)** – there are no identified impacts related to the recommendations of this report.
- **One Planet Council / Equalities** – it is unlikely that there will be any impacts on the statutory equalities objectives to which due regard must be had under s 149 of the Equality Act 2010
- **Legal** - The legal implications are as detailed within this report and legal advice will be provided as and when required or necessary in respect of any future related issues which may arise
- **Crime and Disorder** – there are no identified impacts related to the recommendations of this report.
- **Information Technology (IT)** – there are no identified impacts related to the recommendations of this report.
- **Property** – there are no identified impacts related to the recommendations of this report.
- **Other**

Risk Management

25. There are no identified risks with the recommendations of this report.

Contact Details

Author:

Janie Berry
Director of Governance

Chief Officer Responsible for the report:

Ian Floyd
Chief Operating Officer

Report Approved **Date** [Insert Date]

Specialist Implications Officer(s) List information for all

Financial:-
Name
Title:
Tel No.

Legal:-
Name
Title
Tel No.

Wards Affected:

All

For further information please contact the author of the report

Background Papers:

Full Council Meeting – October 2020

<https://democracy.york.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CIId=331&MIId=12406&Ver=4>

Customer and Corporate Services Scrutiny Management Committee – 18 November 2020

[Agenda for Customer and Corporate Services Scrutiny Management Committee on Wednesday, 18 November 2020, 10.00 am \(york.gov.uk\)](#)

This page is intentionally left blank

City of York Council

Committee Minutes

Meeting	Executive
Date	11 February 2021
Present	Councillors Aspden (Chair), Ayre, Craghill, Cuthbertson, D'Agorne, Orrell, Runciman, Smalley, Waller and Widdowson
In Attendance	Councillor Myers

PART B - MATTERS REFERRED TO COUNCIL

99. Capital Programme - Monitor 3 2020/21

[See also under Part A]

The Chief Finance Officer presented a report which set out the projected out-turn position on the council's capital programme for 2020/21, including any over or under-spends, along with requests to re-profile budgets to and from current and future years.

A decrease of £24.824m was reported on the approved capital budget, resulting in a revised programme of £119.859m. Variances in each portfolio area were outlined in Table 1 at paragraph 7 of the report, and detailed in paragraphs 8-74. The effect of the revisions was shown in Table 2, at paragraph 75.

Despite the disruption of capital investment during the pandemic, progress had been made in a number of areas including Shared Ownership homes, conversion of bus fleets, major expansion at Archbishop Holgate School, further rollout of ICT and completion of the Community Stadium. Due to the impact of Covid-19, only a small number of schemes within the School Maintenance programme had been prioritised in the current year. It was therefore proposed to re-profile a further £1.1m into 2021/22, as set out in paragraphs 14-15.

Recommended: That Council approve the adjustments detailed in the report and contained in Annex A, resulting in a decrease of £24.824m in the approved budget.

Reason: To enable the effective management and monitoring of the council's capital programme.

Cllr K Aspden, Chair

[The meeting started at 5.35 pm and finished at 7.17 pm].



Council

25 February 2021

Report of the Chief Finance Officer

Recommendations of Executive on the Council's Financial Strategy 2021/22 to 2025/26, Capital Budget 2021/22 to 2025/26, Capital Financing and Investment Strategy and the Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Prudential Indicators for 2021/22 to 2025/26

Summary and Background

1. This report presents to Council the recommendations of Executive for approval in respect of the revenue budget proposals for 2021/22, the Capital Budget for the period 2021/22 to 2025/26, the Capital Financing and Investment Strategy and the Treasury Management Strategy for the period 2021/22 to 2025/26. This report should be read in conjunction with the reports presented to Executive on 11 February.
2. On 11 February the Executive met to consider the revenue and capital budgets and the Treasury Management Strategy. The following reports, copies of which Members have previously received, were considered;
 - i) Financial Strategy 2021/22 to 2025/26
 - ii) Capital Budget 2021/22 to 2025/26
 - iii) Capital Financing and Investment Strategy
 - iv) Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Prudential Indicators 2021/22 to 2025/26.

Consultation

3. The council's budget has been widely consulted upon. Please refer to the relevant section of the Financial Strategy report for further details.

4. As noted in the Financial Strategy report, the consultation was promoted within the business community via existing business network links and distribution groups including York Business Week, Make It York, The Business Improvement District, York Chamber of Commerce and the York Federation of Small Businesses. Promotion was through social media, website channels and email. These key stakeholders were asked to pass on details of the consultation to their members.
5. To maximise the opportunity for businesses to provide feedback the consultation period for businesses was extended to 22nd February and promoted in the Business Bulletin. The results will be published alongside all consultation response on York's open data platform. www.yorkopendata.org

Options

6. Options open to the Council are to approve Executive's recommendations or to approve any amendments that may be moved by other Members of Council.

Specialist Implications

7. Implications in respect of setting the budget are contained in the Executive reports. Member's attention is drawn in particular to the legal advice of the Council's Monitoring Officer and the statutory advice of the Section 151 officer as set out in the Financial Strategy report and repeated in the following sections.

Legal

8. The council is required to set a council tax for 2021/22 before 11 March 2021. It may not be set before all major precepts (i.e. precepts from the Police and Fire Authorities) have been issued or before 1st March 2021, whichever is the earlier. The decision to set the level of council tax is reserved to Council and cannot be taken by Executive or delegated to officers, although Executive has to recommend a budget to Council. These comments are intended to apply to both the Executive meeting and the subsequent Council meeting.

9. Before determining the level of the tax, the Council must estimate its proposed revenue expenditure, taking into account amounts required by way of contingency, any need to raise reserves and any other amounts which the Council is legally required to transfer between funds. It must also estimate its anticipated income, any relevant transfer between funds and any proposed use of reserves. It must then calculate the difference between the two which is the council tax requirement.
10. The Council's Chief Financial Officer (under s151 Local Government Act 1972) is required to report to the Council on the robustness of the estimates made for the purposes of the calculations, and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves. The Council must have regard to the report when making decisions about the calculations in connection with which it is made. The Chief Financial Officer has a statutory duty under section 114 of the Local Government Finance Act 1988 to issue a written report if he considers that a decision taken by the Council would be unlawful and likely to cause a financial deficiency.
11. In reaching decisions on these matters, Members are bound by the general principles of administrative law. Lawful discretions must not be abused or fettered and all relevant considerations must be taken into account. No irrelevant considerations may be taken into account, and any decision made must be one which only a reasonable authority, properly directing itself, could have reached. Members must also balance the interests of service users against those who contribute to the Council's finances. The resources available to the Council must be deployed to their best advantage.
12. Members must also act prudently. Members must also bear in mind their other statutory duties to have regard to certain matters when making decisions. The report identifies proposals which, if approved, may potentially have an impact on children, older adults and persons with disabilities all of which groups are statutorily protected equality strands under the Equalities Act 2010. In making their decision, Members must have due regard to their public sector equality duties and the need to eliminate discrimination, to mitigate against negative impacts where these are known and to promote equality when making decisions. Members must also take into consideration the need to consider any crime and disorder implications of the decision. A failure to follow these principles could open the Council to judicial review.
13. Members have a fiduciary duty to the council tax payers and others in the local authority's area. This means that members must behave

responsibly in agreeing the budget. Members have no authority to make anything other than a balanced budget.

14. Among the relevant considerations which Members must take into account in reaching their decisions are the views of business ratepayers and the advice of officers. The duty to consult representatives of non-domestic ratepayers on the Council's expenditure plans is contained in Section 65 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992.
15. In considering the advice of officers, and the weight to be attached to that advice, Members should have regard to the personal duties placed upon the s151 Officer. Members are obliged under the Code of Conduct to have regard to the advice of the s151 Officer and Monitoring Officer. The Council may take decisions which are at variance with their advice, providing there are reasonable grounds to do so. However, Members may expose themselves to risk if they disregard clearly expressed advice, for example as to the level of provision required for contingencies, bad debts and future liabilities. In addition, if Members wish to re-instate savings recommended by the Chief Finance Officer in order to balance the budget, they must find equivalent savings elsewhere.
16. The Chief Finance Officer is required by Section 151 of the Local Government Act 1972 and by the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2011 (as amended) to ensure that the council's budgeting, financial management, and accounting practices meet relevant statutory and professional requirements. This is in addition subject to the requirements set out above.
17. Members must also have regard to, and be aware of, the wider duties placed upon the council by various statutes governing the conduct of its financial affairs. These include the distinction between revenue and capital expenditure and the requirement to set prudential indicators in line with capital investment plans that are prudent, affordable and sustainable.
18. Section 106 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 makes it a criminal offence for any Member with arrears of council tax which have been outstanding for two months or more to attend any meeting at which a decision affecting the budget is to be made, unless the Member concerned declares at the outset of the meeting that he or she is in arrears and will not be voting on the decision for that reason. The Member concerned must not vote but may speak. The application of

Section 106 of the 1992 Act is very wide and Members should be aware that the responsibility for ensuring that they act within the law at all times rests solely with the individual Member concerned.

19. If a referendum is held after the beginning of the relevant financial year, the higher rate of council tax will be payable unless and until it is overturned by a 'no' vote in the referendum. It must also provide "substitute calculations" which need to be presented to Council setting out what the alternative budget would be. This means that that if a party proposes a council tax above the referendum limit they must also produce "substitute calculations" within the referendum limit, to be used in the event that the referendum would reject the increase. In the event that a referendum rejects the increase, the billing authority would be able to issue new bills, offer refunds at the end of the year or allow credits against liability the following year, although individual council taxpayers would be entitled to a refund on demand.

Statutory Advice from the s151 Officer

Introduction

20. The Local Government Act 2003 places responsibilities upon the council's Chief Finance Officer to advise the council on the adequacy of its reserves and the robustness of the budget proposals including the estimates contained in this document. This section also addresses the key risks facing the council in relation to current and future budget provision. The following paragraphs outline my assessment of the budget proposals outlined in this report, including reserves and general robustness of the process. Section 25 (2) of the 2003 Act requires the council to have regard to this assessment in approving the annual budget and setting the council tax.

Robustness of proposals and process

21. The preparation of a budget relies on estimates, which are made at a point in time, and clearly there are a number of factors that can influence actual expenditure throughout the year. However, there are processes in place to ensure that assurance can be given that this budget has been constructed using the best available information and assumptions at the time of preparation. These include:
 - regular budget monitoring to ensure known pressures are reflected

- involvement of directorate management teams in development of the proposals
 - regular scrutiny of the proposals by Executive members, including separate budget Executive Member Decision Sessions held during January
22. Considerable reliance is also placed on budget managers having proper arrangements in place to identify issues early, project the likely demand for services, and consider value for money and efficiency.
23. In order to provide assurances that the budget estimates are robust the following factors have been considered:
- overall funding available including specific grants and other funding available from central government, along with locally raised income from council tax and business rates,
 - progress made in delivering 2020/21 savings
 - whether the budget decisions outlined in this report are achievable
 - the current and anticipated budget pressures arising from services such as social care
 - the forecast impact of inflation and pay awards
 - the financial sustainability of the council and the effectiveness of the financial management arrangements in place
 - the affordability and sustainability of the capital investment plans outlined in the capital programme report elsewhere on this agenda and the revenue impact of this expenditure
24. In addition, the council has a demonstrable track record of delivering budget savings and has sound financial management procedures in place. This has been recognised by favourable audit reports in respect of financial management and processes and overall the financial planning process is sound and effective.
25. A range of pressures have been identified and built into the budget presented in this report, including a contingency sum of £500k as in previous years. There are also significant savings, reflecting the scale of the challenge facing the council. There should be no understatement of the scale of this challenge that continues to face the council, given the general upward cost profile of adult care and children's services.

Risks

26. The report outlines the key risks to the 2021/22 budget and these are considered further in the following paragraphs.
27. The COVID-19 pandemic has clearly had a significant impact on the Council's financial position and is likely to continue to be an area of significant risk throughout the next financial year. The Council has responded quickly and effectively during 2020/21, ensuring support has been available for residents and businesses where needed. It has also been the cause of unprecedented challenges and financial uncertainty and the impact on the Council's income streams is also likely to continue well into the next financial year. The longer term impact both on businesses and on residents is still unknown and therefore I consider the inclusion of a COVID contingency an essential aspect of the budget proposals.
28. A key risk facing the council is the number of complex capital schemes it is currently undertaking, and which are still at relatively early stages of development. Should schemes not progress to full completion there remains a risk that costs currently assumed to be capable of being capitalised must be written off to revenue. There are also increased borrowing levels resulting in increased revenue costs of debt in coming years. This is highlighted in the medium term plan figures.
29. A further key risk in relation to the capital programme is that some major capital projects may have short/medium term cash flow impacts. For example York Central, and Castle Gateway will be major schemes, which will result in additional business rates, income or capital receipts, but that may require short term borrowing prior to income being received to cover the costs. As identified in previous budget reports, the Venture Fund will be used to support early years cash flow deficits on major strategic capital projects.
30. The current pressures being experienced within both adults and children's services are of concern and the ongoing action being taken, as outlined in the finance and performance report elsewhere on this agenda, will need to continue and be given a high priority. Specific attention is drawn to the national picture regarding these services, which are recognised as being under increased pressure. Whilst this council has invested in these services in recent years, the risks remain, and it is essential the council continues to make adequate budgetary growth provision to deal with the significant cost pressures these services are

experiencing, along with savings programmes to mitigate pressures. The budget proposals properly recognise these pressures.

31. Brexit remains an area of risk that will require continued monitoring. There do not appear to have been any significant issues to date but there are clearly potential future implications, such as increased inflation or difficulties securing goods.
32. The reduction in New Homes Bonus Funding will also require careful planning to ensure expenditure currently funded from this source can be met from council tax/retained business rates. Again, provision has been made for this in future years.
33. The council has declared a climate emergency and funding has been allocated within the budget to coordinate, develop and implement the council's ten year plan to deliver a zero carbon future for York. The potential future costs (and savings) that may result from this work is still relatively unknown and will therefore require careful monitoring and further consideration in future years budgets.
34. Clearly, there are risks in the achievement of some of the proposed savings and, in assessing this risk, I cannot guarantee that every single proposal will be achieved. I do however consider the overall package to be prudent. As outlined earlier, processes are in place to ensure the robustness of the proposed savings. A risk assessment of the individual savings proposals has been conducted and discussed with senior management. Where savings are not delivered, services are fully aware of the need to find compensating savings.
35. Finally, there remains the potential for significant changes to the system of local government finance in coming years. Earlier this year, the Government announced that it would delay the move to 75% Business Rates Retention and the implementation of the fair funding review. This decision allowed local authorities to focus on meeting the public health challenge posed by the pandemic. In order to provide further stability to the sector, the Government has decided not to proceed with a reset of business rates baselines in 2021/22 and will maintain the existing 100 per cent business rates pilots for a further year
36. The government originally launched the Fair Funding Review in 2016 and this is the second time the review has been postponed. As yet, there are not details as to when the review may be revisited. This policy is likely to change the needs assessments of local authorities and

therefore the distribution of funding between different councils, adding to the uncertainty in the years ahead.

Reserves

37. CIPFA guidance states that, in order to assess the adequacy of reserves when setting the budget, chief finance officers should take account of the strategic, operational and financial risks facing the authority and that the many factors involved when considering appropriate levels of reserves can only be assessed properly at a local level.
38. Determining the appropriate levels of balances is therefore a professional judgement based on local circumstances including the overall budget size, risks, robustness of budgets, major initiatives being undertaken, budget assumptions and other earmarked reserves and provisions.
39. Furthermore, part of the risk management process involves taking appropriate action to mitigate or remove risks, where this is possible. This in turn may lead to a lower level of reserves being required, and it would be appropriate to consider reducing the level of balances held where appropriate action to mitigate or remove risks has been successfully undertaken. As part of the year end process, a review is undertaken and any balances that are no longer required, or that can be reduced due to action taken to reduce or mitigate the relevant risks, will be reported to Executive as part of the year end outturn report.
40. The proposed 2021/22 budget does not use the general reserve to balance however, given the financial pressures currently being experienced within social care, it is expected that the general reserve will need to be called on in 2020/21. As the budget proposals also include a £2.5m Covid Recovery Fund to deal with the ongoing impact of the pandemic, it is my view that the level of reserves would still remain sufficient to deal with any further risks.

Summary

41. The continuing reduction in public spending coupled with increasing demand for services means financial planning needs to be robust. Over the past 12 months the unprecedented financial uncertainty created by Covid-19 has meant that it has been incredibly difficult to forecast with any real certainty. For future budget planning, further action will be

needed to continue to focus resources on the highest priority services to reduce demand, as well as creating capacity to make investment in key front line services and essential capital investment to support and accelerate recovery from the pandemic. Therefore, the major financial challenge facing the council in coming years remains the need to secure further savings and for cost pressures, particularly those resulting from the pandemic, to be managed effectively. Given the current financial pressures referred to in previous paragraphs there will need to be continued careful monitoring of the achievement of the savings outlined in this report. Whilst the council currently has strong financial health in terms of its overall level of unallocated reserves, a period of uncertainty is to be expected and for future budgets consideration will need to be given to increasing reserves should they be used in the short term.

I have given careful consideration to the proposals outlined in all the budget reports on this agenda and particularly the risks associated with the proposals. Prudent and realistic assumptions have been made and the financial implications of known pressures have been included. Therefore, I am satisfied that they represent a robust budget on which the council can rely in setting council tax.

Recommendations

Revenue Budget

42. Executive recommends that Council:

- i. Approve the budget proposals outlined in the Financial Strategy report and in particular;
 - a. The net revenue expenditure requirement of £131.392m
 - b. A council tax requirement of £98.051m
 - c. The revenue growth proposals as outlined in the body of the report
 - d. The 2021/22 revenue savings proposals as outlined in annex 2
 - e. The fees and charges proposals as outlined in annex 3
 - f. The consultation feedback as set out in annex 4

- g. The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) growth set out in annex 5, the HRA savings proposals set out in annex 6, HRA 2021/22 budget set out in annex 7 and the HRA 30 year plan set out in annex 8.
- h. The dedicated schools grant proposals outlined from paragraph 156
- i. The use of £52k New Homes Bonus to fund one off investment, as outlined in paragraph 87
- ii. That Council note that the effect of approving the income and expenditure proposals included in the recommendations would result in a 4.99% increase in the City of York Council element of the council tax, 3% of which would relate to the social care precept.

Reason: To ensure a legally balanced budget is set

Capital Budget 2021/22 to 2025/26

43. Executive recommends that Council:

- i. Agree to the revised capital programme of **£546.880m** that reflects a net overall increase of **£41.037m** (as set out in table 2 and in Annex A). Key elements of this include:
 - (a) New schemes funded by prudential borrowing totalling £7.513m as set out in tables 3;
 - (b) New schemes funded by a combination of both prudential borrowing and external funds of £3.300m as set out in table 4 and summarised in table 5;
 - (c) New schemes funded by a combination of prudential borrowing and other internal funds of £200k as set out in table 6;
 - (d) Extension of prudential borrowing funded Rolling Programme schemes totalling £10.870m as set out in table 5 and summarised in table 7;

(e) Extension of externally funded Rolling Programme schemes totalling £7.200m as set out in table 9;

(f) An increase in HRA funded schemes totalling £11.954m funded from a combination HRA balances/Right to Buy receipts as set out in table 10;

- ii. Note the total increase in Council borrowing as a result of new schemes being recommended for approval is £20.483m the details of which are considered within this report and the financial strategy report
- iii. Approve the full restated programme as summarised in Annex B totalling £546.880m covering financial years 2021/22 to 2025/26 as set out in table 14 and Annex B

Reason: In accordance with the statutory requirement to set a capital budget for the forthcoming financial year.

Capital and Investment Strategy

44. Executive recommends that Council:

- i. Approve the Capital and Investment Strategy at Annex A

Reason: To meet the statutory obligation to comply with the Prudential Code 2017

Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Prudential Indicators

45. Executive recommends that Council approve;

- i. The proposed treasury management strategy for 2021/22 including the annual investment strategy and the minimum revenue provision policy statement;
- ii. The prudential indicators for 2021/22 to 2025/26 in the main body of the report;

- iii. The specified and non-specified investments schedule (annex B)
- iv. The scheme of delegation and the role of the section 151 officer (annex D)

Reason: To enable the continued effective operation of the treasury management function and ensure that all council borrowing is prudent, affordable and sustainable.

Contact Details

Authors:

Chief Officer Responsible for the report:

Sarah Kirby
Principal Accountant
(01904) 551635

Debbie Mitchell
Chief Finance Officer
(s151 Officer)

Emma Audrain
Technical Accountant
(01904) 551170

**Report
Approved**



Date 12/2/21

Wards Affected: *List wards or tick box to indicate all*

All

For further information please contact the authors of the report

Background Papers:

Reports to Executive meeting held on 11 February 2021

- Financial Strategy 2021/22 to 2025/26
- Capital and Investment Strategy
- Capital Budget 2021/22 to 2025/26
- Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Prudential Indicators for 2021/22 to 2025/26

Annexes: None



Council

25 February 2021

Chief Finance Officer (s151 Officer)
Portfolio of the Leader and Deputy Leader of the Council

Council Tax Resolution 2021/22

Summary

- 1 This report asks Members to approve the rate of council tax for 2021/22. Although the legal requirement is that the Council must have set a balanced budget and the council tax charge by 11 March it is important that council tax rates are approved at this meeting to allow sufficient time to produce and post council tax bills and meet all statutory deadlines.
- 2 This report sets out the resolution based on the assumption that the budget proposals recommended by Executive on 11 February 2021 are approved.
- 3 Members are reminded that the individual council tax bill is comprised of four elements - the amount levied for City of York Council, the amount precepted by the North Yorkshire Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner, Police Authority and the North Yorkshire Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner, Fire and Rescue Authority and, for properties in a parished area of the city, the amount precepted by the individual Town or Parish Council.
- 4 The Localism Act 2011 requires the billing authority to calculate a council tax requirement for the year. This is to help the council determine if it has set an excessive council tax increase that would in turn trigger a local referendum. Principles set by the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government determines that an increase in a council's basic rate of council tax of 2% is excessive.
- 5 In 2021/22 local authorities with responsibilities for adult social care have been given the flexibility to charge a further 3%, in addition to the 2% referendum limit. The additional social care precept must be used to fund adult social care.

Background

- 6 The Council's net revenue budget and capital programme were recommended by the 11 February 2021 Executive for approval by Council. Details appear earlier on this agenda.
- 7 The council tax levels to be proposed will include the precepts received from the parish councils, the North Yorkshire Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner, Police Authority and the North Yorkshire Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner, Fire and Rescue Authority. Members are reminded that the Council must word the resolution in precise language, as directed by legislation.

Parishes

- 8 The total parish funding has increased by £12,455 (1.5%) to £839,903 from £827,448 in 2020/21. This figure includes grants totalling £33,211 provided by the council to parishes to protect against the effects of the localised council tax support scheme. Therefore, the total amount of council tax to be levied by parishes in 2021/22 is £806,692. The percentage change varies from a 10% increase to a 4.3% reduction, with an average increase overall of 1.5%. 15 parishes have frozen their funding. The individual precepts are only charged to the residents in that parish. The total rise in parish precepts over the last three years has been £86k (11%).

North Yorkshire Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner

- 9 The North Yorkshire Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner met on 5th February 2021 to confirm the precept value for the North Yorkshire Police Authority and the North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority.
- 10 The proposal is to increase the North Yorkshire Police Authority precept by 1.99% to £271.06 for a band D property for 2021/22.
- 11 The North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority precept will increase by 1.99% to £74.14 for a band D property for 2021/22.

National Non-Domestic Rates (NNDR)

- 12 City of York Council is currently a member of the North and West Yorkshire (NWX) Business Rates Pool. The pool was formed for 2020/21

and retains 50% of business rates, in line with national policy. The pool will disband on 31 March 2021.

- 13 The West Yorkshire authorities within the pool (which formed the original Leeds City Region pool in 2013/14) have reformed as a new pool which will begin on 1 April 2021.
- 14 The council is projecting retained business rates income in 2021/22 of £33.341m, a decrease of £74k compared to 2020/21. Business rates are forecast to reduce as a result of Covid-19.

Council is recommended to resolve as follows:

- 15 It be noted that on 10 December 2020 the Chief Finance Officer, under her delegated authority, calculated the council tax base for the year 2021/22:
 - (a) for the **whole Council area** as 67,511.60 [Item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")]; and
 - (b) for those dwellings in those parts of its area to which a **Parish** precept relates as in column 1 in the attached Schedule A.
- 16 Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2021/22 (excluding Parish precepts) is £98,051,150.
- 17 That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2021/22 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:
 - (a) £433,334,302 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
 - (b) £334,476,460 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
 - (c) £98,857,842 being the amount by which the aggregate at 14(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 14(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year. [Item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act].

- (d) £1,464.31 being the amount at 14(c) above [Item R], all divided by Item T (12(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish precepts).
- (e) £806,692 being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Schedule A).
- (f) £1,452.36 being the amount at 14(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 14(e) above by Item T (12(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.

18 To note that North Yorkshire Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner for North Yorkshire Police Authority and the North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority has issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Act for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the tables below.

19 That the Council, in accordance with sections 30 and 36 of the Act, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below, and at Schedule B for Parished areas, as the amounts of council tax for 2021/22 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

City of York Council

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
968.24	1,129.62	1,290.99	1,452.36	1,775.10	2,097.85	2,420.60	2,904.72

North Yorkshire Police Authority

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
180.71	210.82	240.94	271.06	331.30	391.53	451.77	542.12

North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
49.43	57.66	65.90	74.14	90.62	107.09	123.57	148.28

Aggregate of Council Tax Requirements (excluding Parished Areas)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
1,198.38	1,398.10	1,597.83	1,797.56	2,197.02	2,596.47	2,995.94	3,595.12

- 20 Determine that the Council's basic amount of council tax for 2021/22 is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under section 52ZB of the Act. As the billing authority, the Council has not been notified by a major precepting authority that its relevant basic amount of council tax for 2021/22 is excessive and that the billing authority is not required to hold a referendum in accordance with Section 52ZK Local Government Finance Act 1992.

This page is intentionally left blank

SCHEDULE A

Parts of the Council's Area	1.	2.	3.							
	Council Tax Base	Basic Amount of Tax	Valuation Bands							
		£	A £	B £	C £	D £	E £	F £	G £	H £
Acaster Malbis Parish Council	343.67	1,465.08	976.72	1,139.51	1,302.30	1,465.08	1,790.65	2,116.22	2,441.80	2,930.16
Askham Bryan Parish Council	208.77	1,496.37	997.58	1,163.85	1,330.11	1,496.37	1,828.89	2,161.42	2,493.95	2,992.74
Askham Richard Parish Council	90.72	1,478.01	985.34	1,149.57	1,313.79	1,478.01	1,806.45	2,134.90	2,463.35	2,956.02
Bishopthorpe Parish Council	1,272.54	1,478.75	985.83	1,150.15	1,314.45	1,478.75	1,807.35	2,135.97	2,464.58	2,957.50
Clifton Without Parish Council	2,058.81	1,457.46	971.64	1,133.59	1,295.52	1,457.46	1,781.33	2,105.22	2,429.10	2,914.92
Copmanthorpe Parish Council	1,709.77	1,472.40	981.60	1,145.21	1,308.80	1,472.40	1,799.59	2,126.80	2,454.00	2,944.80
Deighton Parish Council	139.43	1,486.98	991.32	1,156.55	1,321.76	1,486.98	1,817.41	2,147.86	2,478.30	2,973.96
Dunnington Parish Council	1,356.68	1,469.30	979.53	1,142.80	1,306.05	1,469.30	1,795.80	2,122.32	2,448.83	2,938.60
Earswick Parish Council	431.76	1,502.55	1,001.70	1,168.66	1,335.60	1,502.55	1,836.44	2,170.35	2,504.25	3,005.10
Elvington Parish Council	490.19	1,477.12	984.75	1,148.88	1,313.00	1,477.12	1,805.36	2,133.61	2,461.87	2,954.24
Fulford Parish Council	1,026.98	1,489.04	992.69	1,158.15	1,323.59	1,489.04	1,819.93	2,150.83	2,481.73	2,978.08
Haxby Town Council	3,227.92	1,490.32	993.55	1,159.14	1,324.73	1,490.32	1,821.50	2,152.68	2,483.87	2,980.64
Heslington Parish Council	354.51	1,499.44	999.63	1,166.24	1,332.84	1,499.44	1,832.64	2,165.85	2,499.07	2,998.88
Hessay Parish Council	117.07	1,482.91	988.61	1,153.38	1,318.15	1,482.91	1,812.44	2,141.98	2,471.52	2,965.82
Heworth Parish Council	833.93	1,312.27	874.85	1,020.66	1,166.46	1,312.27	1,603.88	1,895.50	2,187.12	2,624.54
Holtby Parish Council	93.64	1,471.20	980.80	1,144.27	1,307.74	1,471.20	1,798.13	2,125.06	2,452.00	2,942.40
Huntington Parish Council	3,517.92	1,487.93	991.95	1,157.29	1,322.61	1,487.93	1,818.57	2,149.23	2,479.88	2,975.86
Kexby Parish Council	95.66	1,470.56	980.37	1,143.78	1,307.17	1,470.56	1,797.34	2,124.14	2,450.93	2,941.12
Murton Parish Council	205.33	1,464.05	976.03	1,138.71	1,301.38	1,464.05	1,789.39	2,114.74	2,440.08	2,928.10
Naburn Parish Council	231.47	1,473.14	982.09	1,145.78	1,309.46	1,473.14	1,800.50	2,127.87	2,455.23	2,946.28
Nether Poppleton Parish Council	867.56	1,477.06	984.71	1,148.83	1,312.95	1,477.06	1,805.29	2,133.53	2,461.77	2,954.12
New Earswick Parish Council	783.08	1,482.59	988.39	1,153.13	1,317.86	1,482.59	1,812.05	2,141.52	2,470.98	2,965.18
Osbalwick Parish Council	1,337.53	1,462.19	974.79	1,137.27	1,299.73	1,462.19	1,787.11	2,112.05	2,436.98	2,924.38
Rawcliffe Parish Council	2,287.03	1,470.16	980.11	1,143.46	1,306.81	1,470.16	1,796.86	2,123.56	2,450.27	2,940.32
Rufforth and Knapton Parish Council	466.98	1,476.47	984.31	1,148.37	1,312.42	1,476.47	1,804.57	2,132.68	2,460.78	2,952.94
Skelton Parish Council	579.72	1,488.21	992.14	1,157.50	1,322.86	1,488.21	1,818.92	2,149.63	2,480.35	2,976.42
Stockton-on-the-Forest Parish Council	537.10	1,466.88	977.92	1,140.91	1,303.90	1,466.88	1,792.85	2,118.82	2,444.80	2,933.76
Strensall with Towthorpe Parish Council	2,075.83	1,476.37	984.25	1,148.29	1,312.33	1,476.37	1,804.45	2,132.53	2,460.62	2,952.74
Upper Poppleton Parish Council	914.08	1,479.72	986.48	1,150.90	1,315.31	1,479.72	1,808.54	2,137.37	2,466.20	2,959.44
Wheldrake Parish Council	854.60	1,498.09	998.73	1,165.19	1,331.64	1,498.09	1,830.99	2,163.90	2,496.82	2,996.18
Wigginton Parish Council	1,366.51	1,496.75	997.83	1,164.15	1,330.45	1,496.75	1,829.35	2,161.97	2,494.58	2,993.50
	<u>29,876.80</u>									
All other parts of the council's area	<u>37,634.80</u>	1,452.36	968.24	1,129.62	1,290.99	1,452.36	1,775.10	2,097.85	2,420.60	2,904.72
	<u>67,511.60</u>									

Note: This schedule shows the basic City of York Council + Parish element of Council Tax for each area.

This page is intentionally left blank

SCHEDULE B

Parts of the Council's Area	Valuation Bands							
	A £	B £	C £	D £	E £	F £	G £	H £
Acaster Malbis Parish Council	1,206.86	1,407.99	1,609.14	1,810.28	2,212.57	2,614.84	3,017.14	3,620.56
Askham Bryan Parish Council	1,227.72	1,432.33	1,636.95	1,841.57	2,250.81	2,660.04	3,069.29	3,683.14
Askham Richard Parish Council	1,215.48	1,418.05	1,620.63	1,823.21	2,228.37	2,633.52	3,038.69	3,646.42
Bishopthorpe Parish Council	1,215.97	1,418.63	1,621.29	1,823.95	2,229.27	2,634.59	3,039.92	3,647.90
Clifton Without Parish Council	1,201.78	1,402.07	1,602.36	1,802.66	2,203.25	2,603.84	3,004.44	3,605.32
Copmanthorpe Parish Council	1,211.74	1,413.69	1,615.64	1,817.60	2,221.51	2,625.42	3,029.34	3,635.20
Deighton Parish Council	1,221.46	1,425.03	1,628.60	1,832.18	2,239.33	2,646.48	3,053.64	3,664.36
Dunnington Parish Council	1,209.67	1,411.28	1,612.89	1,814.50	2,217.72	2,620.94	3,024.17	3,629.00
Earswick Parish Council	1,231.84	1,437.14	1,642.44	1,847.75	2,258.36	2,668.97	3,079.59	3,695.50
Elvington Parish Council	1,214.89	1,417.36	1,619.84	1,822.32	2,227.28	2,632.23	3,037.21	3,644.64
Fulford Parish Council	1,222.83	1,426.63	1,630.43	1,834.24	2,241.85	2,649.45	3,057.07	3,668.48
Haxby Town Council	1,223.69	1,427.62	1,631.57	1,835.52	2,243.42	2,651.30	3,059.21	3,671.04
Heslington Parish Council	1,229.77	1,434.72	1,639.68	1,844.64	2,254.56	2,664.47	3,074.41	3,689.28
Hessay Parish Council	1,218.75	1,421.86	1,624.99	1,828.11	2,234.36	2,640.60	3,046.86	3,656.22
Heworth Parish Council	1,215.28	1,417.82	1,620.36	1,822.91	2,228.00	2,633.09	3,038.19	3,645.82
Holtby Parish Council	1,210.94	1,412.75	1,614.58	1,816.40	2,220.05	2,623.68	3,027.34	3,632.80
Huntington Parish Council	1,222.09	1,425.77	1,629.45	1,833.13	2,240.49	2,647.85	3,055.22	3,666.26
Kexby Parish Council	1,210.51	1,412.26	1,614.01	1,815.76	2,219.26	2,622.76	3,026.27	3,631.52
Murton Parish Council	1,206.17	1,407.19	1,608.22	1,809.25	2,211.31	2,613.36	3,015.42	3,618.50
Naburn Parish Council	1,212.23	1,414.26	1,616.30	1,818.34	2,222.42	2,626.49	3,030.57	3,636.68
Nether Poppleton Parish Council	1,214.85	1,417.31	1,619.79	1,822.26	2,227.21	2,632.15	3,037.11	3,644.52
New Earswick Parish Council	1,218.53	1,421.61	1,624.70	1,827.79	2,233.97	2,640.14	3,046.32	3,655.58
Osbaldwick Parish Council	1,204.93	1,405.75	1,606.57	1,807.39	2,209.03	2,610.67	3,012.32	3,614.78
Rawcliffe Parish Council	1,210.25	1,411.94	1,613.65	1,815.36	2,218.78	2,622.18	3,025.61	3,630.72
Rufforth and Knapton Parish Council	1,214.45	1,416.85	1,619.26	1,821.67	2,226.49	2,631.30	3,036.12	3,643.34
Skelton Parish Council	1,222.28	1,425.98	1,629.70	1,833.41	2,240.84	2,648.25	3,055.69	3,666.82
Stockton-on-the-Forest Parish Council	1,208.06	1,409.39	1,610.74	1,812.08	2,214.77	2,617.44	3,020.14	3,624.16
Strensall with Towthorpe Parish Council	1,214.39	1,416.77	1,619.17	1,821.57	2,226.37	2,631.15	3,035.96	3,643.14
Upper Poppleton Parish Council	1,216.62	1,419.38	1,622.15	1,824.92	2,230.46	2,635.99	3,041.54	3,649.84
Wheldrake Parish Council	1,228.87	1,433.67	1,638.48	1,843.29	2,252.91	2,662.52	3,072.16	3,686.58
Wigginton Parish Council	1,227.97	1,432.63	1,637.29	1,841.95	2,251.27	2,660.59	3,069.92	3,683.90
All other parts of the council's area	1,198.38	1,398.10	1,597.83	1,797.56	2,197.02	2,596.47	2,995.94	3,595.12

Note: This schedule shows the total aggregate Council Tax (City of York Council, Police, Fire and Parish) for each area.

This page is intentionally left blank

Schedule C

Parts of the Council's Area

	Council Tax Base	Precept Value £	Support Grant £	Total Funding Value £	Basic Band D £	% Increase in Total Funding
Acaster Malbis Parish Council	343.7	4,373.00	180.00	4,553.00	12.72	3.0%
Askham Bryan Parish Council	208.8	9,189.00	310.00	9,499.00	44.01	2.5%
Askham Richard Parish Council	90.7	2,327.00	65.00	2,392.00	25.65	0.0%
Bishopthorpe Parish Council	1,272.5	33,583.00	1,417.00	35,000.00	26.39	0.0%
Clifton Without Parish Council	2,058.8	10,493.00	507.00	11,000.00	5.10	-4.3%
Copmanthorpe Parish Council	1,709.8	34,271.00	669.00	34,940.00	20.04	0.0%
Deighton Parish Council	139.4	4,827.00	103.00	4,930.00	34.62	0.0%
Dunnington Parish Council	1,356.7	22,979.00	703.00	23,682.00	16.94	10.1%
Earswick Parish Council	431.8	21,670.00	282.00	21,952.00	50.19	0.0%
Elvington Parish Council	490.2	12,137.00	458.00	12,595.00	24.76	2.0%
Fulford Parish Council	1,027.0	37,673.00	1,727.00	39,400.00	36.68	9.5%
Haxby Town Council	3,227.9	122,531.00	4,469.00	127,000.00	37.96	0.0%
Heslington Parish Council	354.5	16,691.00	683.00	17,374.00	47.08	2.2%
Hessay Parish Council	117.1	3,576.00	74.00	3,650.00	30.55	0.0%
Heworth Parish Council	833.9	21,142.00	673.00	21,815.00	25.35	2.0%
Holtby Parish Council	93.6	1,764.00	0.00	1,764.00	18.84	0.0%
Huntington Parish Council	3,517.9	125,117.00	6,390.00	131,507.00	35.57	0.0%
Kexby Parish Council	95.7	1,741.00	59.00	1,800.00	18.20	0.0%
Murton Parish Council	205.3	2,400.00	100.00	2,500.00	11.69	0.0%
Naburn Parish Council	231.5	4,809.00	146.00	4,955.00	20.78	3.0%
Nether Poppleton Parish Council	867.6	21,428.00	572.00	22,000.00	24.70	0.0%
New Earswick Parish Council	783.1	23,671.00	4,329.00	28,000.00	30.23	3.7%
Osbalwick Parish Council	1,337.5	13,152.00	848.00	14,000.00	9.83	0.0%
Rawcliffe Parish Council	2,287.0	40,709.00	1,291.00	42,000.00	17.80	-2.0%
Rufforth and Knapton Parish Council	467.0	11,260.00	185.00	11,445.00	24.11	5.0%
Skelton Parish Council	579.7	20,782.00	1,218.00	22,000.00	35.85	10.0%
Stockton-on-the-Forest Parish Council	537.1	7,801.00	349.00	8,150.00	14.52	2.5%
Strensall with Towthorpe Parish Council	2,075.8	49,847.00	2,153.00	52,000.00	24.01	0.0%
Upper Poppleton Parish Council	914.1	25,006.00	494.00	25,500.00	27.36	2.0%
Wheldrake Parish Council	854.6	39,082.00	918.00	40,000.00	45.73	0.0%
Wigginton Parish Council	1,366.5	60,661.00	1,839.00	62,500.00	44.39	4.0%

Note: This schedule shows precepting information for each parish area.

This page is intentionally left blank